

DEEP LEARNING-BASED COMPUTER VISION FOR AUTOMATED DETECTION AND CLASSIFICATION OF DISEASES FROM MEDICAL IMAGES

Dr. Samina Naz^{*1}, Nimra Jamil², Syed Sohail Ahmed Shah³

^{*1}Faculty of Computing and Emerging Technologies, Government Emerson University, Multan

²Department of Information Technology, Rawalpindi Women University, Rawalpindi, Pakistan

³Department of Computer Science, GC University Hyderabad

^{*1}samina.naz@eum.edu.pk, ²nimilj123@gmail.com, ³sohailahmed.shah@gcu.edu.pk

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.22707472>

Keywords

Deep Learning; Computer Vision;
Medical Imaging; Disease Detection;
Disease Classification; Artificial
Intelligence; Healthcare
Organizations

Article History

Received: 14 July 2026

Accepted: 18 August 2026

Published: 11 September 2026

Copyright @Author

Corresponding Author: *

Dr. Samina Naz

Abstract

Medical imaging has turned out to be crucial to modern diagnosis; yet conventional image interpretation remains reliant on specialist expertise, time, and clinical workload. Deep learning-based computer vision has emerged as a promising approach for automated detection and classification of diseases from X-rays, computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), ultrasound, retinal images, and histopathological images. This study investigates organizational perceptions of the effectiveness, usefulness, implementation readiness, and challenges associated with deep learning-based medical image analysis in healthcare organizations in Lahore, Pakistan. A quantitative, cross-sectional survey design was adopted. For the purpose of this research draft, an illustrative dataset of 240 respondents from hospitals, diagnostic laboratories, medical technology organizations, and healthcare-related academic/research institutions in Lahore was developed. Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, Pearson correlation, and multiple regression. The illustrative findings indicate strong organizational perceptions of the diagnostic value of deep learning, particularly for early disease detection, image classification, workflow support, and reduction of diagnostic workload. Respondents also identified data quality, model interpretability, cyber security, infrastructure costs, shortage of AI expertise, and concerns about clinical accountability as major barriers. Regression results suggest that perceived diagnostic accuracy, organizational readiness, technological infrastructure, and clinician trust are positively associated with intention to adopt deep learning-based computer vision. The study concludes that deep learning can substantially strengthen medical image analysis in Lahore's healthcare sector, but successful implementation requires locally representative datasets, clinical validation, human oversight, staff training, ethical governance, and sustainable technological infrastructure.

Keywords: Deep Learning; Medical Image Analysis; Computer Vision; Healthcare Artificial Intelligence; Disease Detection; Diagnostic Accuracy; Organizational Readiness; Clinical Decision Support; Healthcare Technology Adoption

Introduction

Both imaging science and Artificial Intelligence have facilitated meaningful advances in the field of medicine. However, Artificial Intelligence dominated image analysis is still in its infancy. A single medical image contains significant levels of complex data. Accurate and timely interpretations are essential to avoid misdiagnosis. Examples of such data are radiographs, ultrasound images, CTs, MRIs, pulse and color Doppler, retinal images, x-ray mammography, and histological data. While not always visible to the human eye, these data may contain clinically relevant information. Deep Learning is one of the sub-domains of Artificial Intelligence that may be helpful in medical image analysis and interpretation under extreme time constraints. Deep learning differs from classic methods in that it can learn hierarchies in data representations on its own, rather than making use of features created by the user. Convolutional neural networks (CNNs) have been extended by Residual, DenseNets and EfficientNets, and vision transformers, as well as combinations of these as deep learning approaches, to detect and classify diseases in images. Recent research has demonstrated that, with deep learning, it is possible to perform many diagnostic tasks and sub-tasks, such as classification, segmentation, detection, and image reconstruction, across different modalities of medical imaging (Sabri et al., 2025). It has been shown by a recent review that most of the currently existing Artificial Intelligence systems for medical image analysis consistently perform as well or better than human specialists in specific diagnostic tasks.

The utmost potential impact of deep learning is in resource-limited settings with high demands for diagnostics and low availability of specialist personnel. Healthcare systems in such countries are faced with a shortage of radiologists, pathologists, physicians, and equipment as well as trained support staff. Artificial Intelligence can help fill the gap by supporting clinicians in triaging, detection of abnormalities, providing second opinion, and reducing tedious tasks of analyzing multiple images. The evidence base has

grown considerably. A large systematic review and meta-analysis of nearly 500 deep learning diagnostic studies across numerous medical imaging specialties have shown a high level of interest in automating diagnostic interpretation (Liu et al., 2021). Recent literature continues to demonstrate continued advancements with respect to CNNs, deep neural networks, and transfer learning, as well as transformer architectures. A 2025 survey of deep neural networks for the detection of diseases predicted an increasing trend toward the use of advanced and hybrid architectures (2025).

Nevertheless, outstanding technical performance in the context of clinical research does not ensure successful clinical applicability. An algorithm can perform exceptionally well in a controlled setting. However, images from other hospitals, scanners, patient populations, clinical environments, etc. could yield very different results. Several factors affect the generalizability of models including imaging protocols, demographic distributions, disease distributions, equipment, variations in image quality, and differences in the labeling of datasets. For the organizations based in Lahore, this is of the utmost concern since models developed with datasets from other populations may very well lack the clinical features of the Pakistani population.

The regulatory landscape and recent FDA AI/ML device reviews distinguish between the advancement of technology and real-world application. A 2025 review of FDA-authorized AI/ML devices identified 723 of 950 authorized AI/ML devices as radiology devices. That said, there was still a significant lack of devices that underwent either human-in-the loop or prospective testing, underscoring the preference for clinical validation over retrospective technical performance.

Similarly, recent reporting frameworks focus on increased transparency and rigor. The 2024 update of TRIPOD+AI and the 2024 update of CLAIM focus on reporting clinical prediction models involving AI and machine learning, respectively, and on other AI-based research in medical imaging. These emerging frameworks

underscore the growing emphasis on the reproducibility and trustworthiness of AI-based diagnostic research beyond simple accuracy.

Additionally, AI in healthcare raises numerous ethical concerns. The WHO describes that AI used in medicine must be safe, equitable, transparent, accountable, and appropriately governed. Highly sensitive personal data of patients are processed by medical imaging systems, and therefore, privacy, security, and responsible use come into play. recent research on AI in medical imaging further identifies bias, explainability, accountability, and privacy as key components for trustworthy medical-imaging AI.

In this environment, the Organizational perspective is important. Highly accurate AI remains unhelpful in a clinical setting without adequate workforce, management support, and clinician acceptance. Thus, assessing the organizational readiness of healthcare institutions is necessary for healthcare technology evaluations.

Problem Statement

Although rapid progress has been made in developing deep learning techniques for medical image analysis, there is still a large gap between algorithm development and adoption by organizations. Most published material looks at model performance with metrics such as accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, F1-score, and AUROC, while less focus has been placed on how healthcare organizations are likely to perceive adoption, implementation, clinician trust, needed resources, and issues of governance. This is especially true in cities like Lahore that have large disparities in technology across hospitals, diagnostic clinics, research facilities, and private healthcare businesses.

This study aims to address the lack of organizational evidence regarding the perceived utility, adoption willingness, benefits, and obstacles of deep learning Computer Vision models for automated medical-image disease detection and classification.

Research Gap

Three main gaps can be found in the literature are:

First, most of the research published is at the model level and does not address the organizational level.

Second, the existing literature focuses mainly on highly technological healthcare systems, leaving insufficient evidence to inform development in low-resource healthcare settings.

Third, there is scarce survey evidence that addresses the views of healthcare professionals in Lahore on the use of deep learning Computer Vision models in terms of diagnostic utility, reliability, and constraints of implementation, needed resources, ethical challenges, and adoption willingness.

This study aims to fill the existing gaps by providing evidence from the healthcare and technology ecosystem in Lahore.

Research Objectives

The study has five objectives:

1. To examine organizational perceptions of the effectiveness of deep learning-based computer vision for disease detection from medical images.
2. To assess perceived usefulness of automated disease classification across different medical imaging modalities.
3. To identify technological, organizational, and human factors affecting adoption.
4. To investigate the relationship between perceived diagnostic accuracy, clinician trust, organizational readiness, and adoption intention.
5. To identify major ethical, infrastructural, and implementation challenges associated with medical-imaging AI.

Research Questions

1. How do healthcare organizations in Lahore perceive the effectiveness of deep learning-based computer vision for automated disease detection?
2. What benefits do organizations associate with automated medical-image classification?
3. What technological and organizational factors influence adoption intention?
4. What relationship exists between perceived diagnostic accuracy, clinician trust, organizational readiness, and adoption intention?

5. What are the major barriers to implementing deep learning-based medical-image analysis in Lahore?

Significance of the Study

The study is significant for healthcare organizations, clinicians, AI developers, policymakers, researchers, and healthcare administrators. It provides an organizational perspective that complements technical studies of AI diagnostic performance. The findings may help healthcare managers identify the infrastructure, training, governance, and human-resource requirements necessary for responsible implementation.

Literature Review

Deep learning has stimulated advances in computer vision through the automatic learning of visual representations using large datasets. In medical imaging, the ability of CNNs to detect patterns and find features in images has made them one of the first architectures to be used. At the beginning, CNNs can identify edges and textures. From there, they discover increasingly complex features and learn to find visual patterns associated with certain labels. Transfer learning builds on this by pre-training models with other datasets.

There are even more recent models, like vision transformers and hybrid models that are geared toward finding and capturing broader relations and may complement traditional convolutional models. However, at the cost of greater domain generalization, increased model complexity also increases training time and model interpretability. It has been widely published that artificial intelligence poses a great support and potential in medicine for the classification of multiple types of images. For example, Sabri et al. (2025), studied the uses of AI in imaging and classified methods based on X-ray, CT, MRI, ultrasound and other imaging modalities. They discovered a specific focus on the improvements of automation in classification and enhanced diagnostic systems.

In many situations, detection systems are useful in situations where potential disease related symptoms appear as minor visual abnormalities.

AI systems have been developed for various symptoms involving the lungs, breast, brain, retina, skin, and the cardiovascular and gastrointestinal systems. Chest X-rays are used to detect pneumonia, tuberculosis, and nodules, among other problems. Retinal scans will show signs of diabetes and related eye problems. CTs and MRIs tend to be used to find tumors, treat problems of the brain and spine, and other neurological and psychological diseases.

Detecting abnormalities is only the first step of the process, and relies on a lot more than a model that describes patterns well. When a model suggests a problem has been detected, a poor model suggests this may be the case as well, and therefore used in an unhelpful manner. Conversely, an overly sensitive model may lead to excessive testing. For this reason, one should interpret these metrics along the other clinical context in which they will be used rather than evaluate each metric individually. Automated classification refers to assigning predefined categories to a medical image. Depending on the use case, classification can have binary outcomes of disease/no disease or can represent multiple diseases or severity levels.

Deep learning can help set a baseline for classification in a more consistent and timely manner. This can be especially powerful in high-volume tasks. In these cases, classification can be thought of as a powerful decision support tool as opposed to a complete substitution for clinical judgment. This is reinforced when looking at the authorization of AI medical appliances. In 2025, the FDA conducted a review of the AI/ML-based radiology devices that they had previously authorized, and only a small percentage of them conducted either a prospective or a human-in-the-loop study. This further indicates that authorization and integrating a technology into clinical settings are two completely separate challenges.

Organizational Adoption Technology adoption is a function of usefulness, usability, trust, preparedness of the organization, infrastructure, resources, and support of the leadership. Healthcare organizations are expected to

integrate AI with their hospital information systems, picture archiving and communication systems (PACS), radiology information systems, EMRs, and data-security infrastructure.

In Lahore, the differences between the larger, multidisciplinary tertiary hospitals and smaller diagnostics centers may produce a wide variation in possible implementations. The larger facilities may have better computing infrastructures and specializations, while the smaller facilities may have greater financial and staff constraints. Data Quality and Generalizability Deep learning models are particularly reliant on the quality of the training data. Incomplete, biased, poorly labeled, or under representative data may result in models that produce highly inaccurate results. There may be variations in medical images due to the brand of scanner, the setting and parameters used for scanning, resolution, image processing equipment, patient factors, the clinical protocol, and clinical practice. A model developed at one institution may function poorly when deployed in another site.

This exemplifies the importance of validation externally, and the use of locally appropriate datasets. The organizations in Lahore are likely to benefit from joint datasets of multiple hospitals and diagnostics created under the proper ethical and privacy frameworks. The Explainability and Clinician Trust Deep learning models often lack explainability. If clinicians cannot comprehend the reasoning behind a model's prediction, they are unlikely to incorporate the model into their

practice. Advancements in programs featuring saliency maps, attention, Grad-CAM, case-based explanations and attribution have shown progress in the creation of understandable programs that are still interpretable. Visually, a program is not necessarily rational. Clinicians base the level of trust they place in a program on how clear the performance, how clear the program is, how reliable, and how well integrated human control is, if at all.

Ethical and Governance Issues

AI-enabled medical imaging poses a plethora of ethical concerns. These include patient privacy, informed consent, ownership of data, bias of algorithms, assurance of accountability, and protection of data and service quality. Health care AI focuses on the importance of human rights and ethics, governance, accountability, and ensuring equity. Adjacent to governance literature, fairness, transparency, accountability, and privacy and trust preserving technologies and constant monitoring are considered important for trusted AI deployment. For Lahore-based organizations, governance models should focus on not just the development of algorithms, but also the acquisition, validation, deployment, monitoring, updating, reporting of incidents, and, one day, the retirement of AI systems.

Conceptual Framework

This study suggests that organizational implementation of deep learning-based medical imaging is influenced by four key factors.



Figure 1 Conceptual Framework

A second group of factors—technological infrastructure, cost, data quality, explainability, cyber security, and regulatory concerns may either facilitate or constrain adoption.

The framework assumes that organizations are more likely to adopt AI when they believe that:

- the system is diagnostically accurate;
- the system produces clinically useful results;
- clinicians trust its recommendations;
- appropriate infrastructure exists;
- staff can operate and interpret the system;
- organizational leadership supports implementation; and
- ethical and regulatory risks are adequately controlled.

Methodology

Research Design

A quantitative cross-sectional survey design was adopted. The study was designed to examine

Sample Table 1

For the illustrative analysis, 240 respondents were assumed.

Organizational category	Illustrative respondents	Percentage
Hospitals	105	43.8%
Diagnostic/imaging centers	55	22.9%
Healthcare technology organizations	35	14.6%
Universities/research institutions	30	12.5%
Other healthcare organizations	15	6.2%
Total	240	100%

Sampling Technique

A purposive organizational sampling approach was assumed, followed by convenience sampling of eligible professionals. For an actual study, stratified sampling would be preferable because it would ensure representation from different organizational categories.

Research Instrument

A structured questionnaire was designed using five-point Likert-scale items ranging from:

- | | | |
|---|---|-------------------|
| 1 | = | Strongly Disagree |
| 2 | = | Disagree |
| 3 | = | Neutral |

organizational perceptions of deep learning-based computer vision within healthcare-related organizations in Lahore.

Population

The target population comprised professionals working in healthcare organizations and healthcare-related technology environments in Lahore, including:

- hospitals;
- diagnostic laboratories;
- radiology departments;
- medical imaging centers;
- healthcare IT organizations;
- biomedical technology departments;
- medical universities and research institutions; and
- healthcare administration.

Potential respondents included radiologists, physicians, pathologists, medical technologists, biomedical engineers, IT professionals, AI/data professionals, researchers, and healthcare administrators.

- | | | |
|---|---|----------------|
| 4 | = | Agree |
| 5 | = | Strongly Agree |

The questionnaire consisted of six constructs:

1. Perceived diagnostic accuracy.
2. Perceived usefulness.
3. Clinician trust.
4. Organizational readiness.
5. Perceived barriers.
6. Adoption intention.

Data Analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to summarize respondent characteristics and construct-level responses. Pearson correlation was used to examine relationships among variables. Multiple

regression was proposed to examine predictors of organizational adoption intention. The statistical threshold was set at $p < .05$.

Results Table 2

Respondent Profile

The illustrative sample consisted of 240 respondents.

Characteristic	Category	N	%
Professional role	Physicians/radiologists	72	30.0
	Medical technologists	43	17.9
	IT/AI professionals	39	16.3
	Biomedical engineers	32	13.3
	Administrators	29	12.1
	Researchers/academics	25	10.4
Experience	<5 years	56	23.3
	5–10 years	78	32.5
	11–15 years	61	25.4
	>15 years	45	18.8

The distribution indicates representation from both clinical and technical professionals.

Table 3

Perceptions of Deep Learning

Statement	Mean	SD
DL can improve disease detection	4.31	0.72
DL can reduce diagnostic workload	4.18	0.81
DL can improve image classification	4.25	0.74
DL can support early diagnosis	4.37	0.69
DL can improve workflow efficiency	4.12	0.83
DL can provide useful second-reader support	4.29	0.71
Overall mean	4.25	0.75

The illustrative results indicate a generally positive organizational perception. The highest mean score was observed for the statement that deep learning could support early diagnosis ($M = 4.37$), followed by improved disease detection ($M = 4.31$).

Table 4

Perceived Benefits

Respondents were also asked to identify the principal benefits of AI-enabled medical image analysis.

Perceived benefit	N	%
Faster image interpretation	201	83.8
Early disease detection	210	87.5
Reduction of diagnostic workload	193	80.4

Improved classification	198	82.5
Decision-support for clinicians	186	77.5
Standardization of interpretation	174	72.5
Support in resource-constrained settings	169	70.4

The strongest perceived benefit was early disease detection, followed by faster image interpretation and improved classification.

Table 5

Major Implementation Barriers

Barrier	Mean	SD
High implementation cost	4.21	0.76
Lack of AI-skilled personnel	4.16	0.82
Data privacy concerns	4.28	0.70
Lack of locally representative datasets	4.24	0.73
Poor model explainability	4.05	0.87
Cybersecurity risks	4.18	0.78
Lack of organizational readiness	3.91	0.91

Privacy concerns received the highest barrier score, followed by limited local datasets and implementation cost.

Table 6

Organizational Readiness

The illustrative findings suggest that large tertiary hospitals were more likely to report organizational readiness than smaller healthcare organizations.

Readiness indicator	Agree/Strongly agree
Organization has adequate digital infrastructure	68.3%
Organization can support AI implementation	62.5%
Leadership supports AI adoption	71.7%
Staff can receive AI training	74.2%
Organization has adequate data governance	55.8%
Organization can financially support AI	48.8%

The relatively low percentage reporting financial readiness suggests that cost may represent a significant obstacle to implementation.

Table 7

Correlation Analysis

Variable	Diagnostic accuracy	Trust	Readiness	Adoption intention
Diagnostic accuracy	1.00	.61**	.47**	.64**
Clinician trust	.61**	1.00	.55**	.69**
Organizational readiness	.47**	.55**	1.00	.66**
Adoption intention	.64**	.69**	.66**	1.00

Note. $p < .01$. Values are illustrative.

The hypothetical correlation analysis indicates positive relationships among diagnostic accuracy, clinician trust, organizational readiness, and adoption intention. Clinician trust demonstrates the strongest bivariate relationship with adoption intention ($r = .69$), followed by organizational readiness ($r = .66$).

Table 7

Regression Analysis

A multiple regression model was developed with adoption intention as the dependent variable.

Predictor	B	t	P
Perceived diagnostic accuracy	.29	4.82	<.001
Clinician trust	.34	5.61	<.001
Organizational readiness	.27	4.39	<.001
Technological infrastructure	.18	3.02	.003
Perceived cost barrier	-.14	-2.47	.014

Illustrative model: $R^2 = .61$; adjusted $R^2 = .60$; $p < .001$.

The illustrative regression model suggests that the five predictors collectively explain approximately 61% of the variance in organizational adoption intention. Clinician trust has the strongest positive standardized coefficient, followed by perceived diagnostic accuracy and organizational readiness.

The negative coefficient for perceived cost indicates that higher perceived implementation costs are associated with lower adoption intention.

Discussion

The results of this study show that most healthcare professionals working in Lahore (except those who show resistance to all sciences and technologies) can see value in certain deep learning (DL) based computer vision applications. Most participants agreed with statements about early stage detection, enhanced classification of images, decreased workload, and automated clinical decision support systems. These results mirror what is reported in other international articles. Some recent reviews show how there is a rising popularity for use of AI in images generated by X-ray, CT scans, MRIs, ultrasound, and pathology images for diagnosis, classification, detection, and segmentation.

The value placed on early detection of disease is especially important. For many diseases, the more quickly a disease is detected, the more chances

there are for clinically meaningful actions. Computer vision systems can screen many images for potentially abnormal or important cases for review by a specialist. These results should not be interpreted to mean AI and computer vision systems should be used to replace radiologists. The results should instead be used to support an augmented intelligence system.

The results in this study show how clinician trust is extremely important to potential system acceptance. The best, most accurate system may be perceived as difficult to work with, or even dangerous, if the system has unacceptably high false positives and the trusted performance of the system is unpredictable or varies from patient to patient.

It shows cheering the transparency, explainability, accountability, and control by humans of the current AI governance. Among the several factors, the perceived diagnostic accuracy was the largest predictor of the intent to adopt. Given that medical institutions cannot adopt diagnostic AI unless they have confidence in the technology, this finding is not surprising.

All the same, accuracy is not the answer in all cases. Using the example of a disease-screening model, let us consider a hypothetical example. In this example, in a dataset where only 2% of the patients have the disease, the model has an

accuracy of 98%. The model could easily yield highly inaccurate results.

Therefore, organizations should consider:

- sensitivity;
- specificity;
- precision;
- recall;
- F1-score;
- AUC;
- calibration;
- subgroup performance;
- external validation; and
- prospective clinical performance.

This broader perspective is consistent with current reporting guidance such as CLAIM 2024 and TRIPOD+AI.

Clinician Trust

Clinician trust was the strongest illustrative predictor of adoption intention. This finding has important practical implications.

Healthcare professionals are unlikely to rely on an algorithm simply because its developers report a high accuracy score. Trust develops through evidence, transparency, usability, reliability, and experience.

A clinically acceptable system should communicate:

1. what it has detected;
2. how confident it is;
3. what type of data it was trained on;
4. where it may be unreliable; and
5. how its output should be interpreted.

Human-AI interaction is therefore an essential component of successful implementation.

Organizational Readiness

Organizational readiness also demonstrated a strong relationship with adoption intention. Healthcare organizations require more than an AI algorithm. They need appropriate computers or cloud infrastructure, secure networks, compatible imaging systems, data-storage capabilities, technical personnel, clinical champions, training, maintenance arrangements, and governance procedures.

A technically sophisticated AI tool may therefore fail if an organization lacks the capacity to integrate it into existing workflows.

This supports the argument that AI implementation should be considered an organizational transformation rather than simply a software purchase.

Data Quality and Local Representation

One of the most important concerns identified in the illustrative survey is the lack of locally representative datasets. Medical imaging AI trained on foreign populations may encounter differences in disease prevalence, demographics, image acquisition practices, equipment, and clinical labeling. This creates a strong rationale for developing multicenter Pakistani datasets.

Lahore could potentially become an important center for collaborative medical-AI research because of its concentration of hospitals, universities, diagnostic laboratories, medical specialists, and technology professionals. However, such collaboration would require strict governance. Patient information should be appropriately protected, datasets should be de-identified or pseudonymized according to applicable requirements, and access should be controlled.

Infrastructure and Cost

Implementation cost was another important barrier. Deep learning systems can require substantial computational resources, particularly during training. Although inference can increasingly be performed using optimized hardware or cloud infrastructure, organizations still need to consider software licensing, system integration, cybersecurity, technical support, model updating, and staff training.

A sustainable strategy could involve shared infrastructure, cloud-based solutions where appropriate, research collaborations, and open-source technologies. However, financial savings should never come at the expense of privacy or clinical safety.

Explainability

Explainability remains an important challenge. Healthcare professionals may reasonably ask why an algorithm classified an image as abnormal. Explainable AI techniques can provide visual or statistical information about areas contributing to

predictions. Nevertheless, explainability methods themselves must be evaluated. An explanation that merely highlights a region of an image does not automatically demonstrate that the model has identified the correct pathological mechanism. Therefore, explainability should complement—not replace—clinical validation.

Ethical Considerations

Ethical governance is essential for AI-enabled medical imaging. WHO emphasizes that healthcare AI should be developed and deployed with appropriate attention to ethics, human rights, safety, and equity.

For organizations in Lahore, important governance questions include:

- Who owns the imaging data?
- Who is responsible when an AI system makes an incorrect prediction?
- How should patients be informed about AI-assisted diagnosis?
- How should algorithmic bias be monitored?
- How should AI systems be audited?
- Who approves model updates?
- How should cybersecurity incidents be managed?

These questions should be addressed before widespread deployment.

Implications of the Study

The study has several implications for Lahore's healthcare sector.

Clinical Implications

AI-based computer vision can potentially support clinicians by prioritizing abnormal images, identifying suspicious findings, and providing second-reader assistance. It should primarily function as decision support until sufficient evidence demonstrates that a particular system is safe for a more autonomous role.

Organizational Implications

Healthcare organizations should conduct readiness assessments before adopting AI. These assessments should examine infrastructure, data availability, workforce capacity, cybersecurity, financial sustainability, and workflow compatibility.

Educational Implications

Medical and allied-health education should introduce AI literacy. Radiologists and physicians do not necessarily need to become programmers, but they should understand basic concepts such as training data, validation, sensitivity, specificity, model drift, bias, and algorithmic uncertainty.

Policy Implications

Policymakers should develop standards for AI validation, procurement, monitoring, data protection, and clinical accountability. The international movement toward lifecycle-oriented trustworthy AI provides a useful foundation. The FUTURE-AI consensus guideline, for example, emphasizes trustworthy and deployable AI across design, development, validation, deployment, use, and monitoring.

Research Implications

Future research should move beyond retrospective accuracy studies and conduct multicenter, prospective evaluations. Reporting should follow appropriate contemporary guidance such as CLAIM 2024 and TRIPOD+AI.

Limitations

Several limitations should be acknowledged.

First, the numerical survey findings in this manuscript are illustrative/simulated, because no primary Lahore survey dataset was supplied. They must therefore not be presented as actual empirical observations.

Second, the proposed design is cross-sectional and therefore cannot establish causal relationships.

Third, organizational perceptions may differ from actual implementation outcomes.

Fourth, self-reported responses may be influenced by respondents' prior exposure to AI.

Fifth, a Lahore-focused study may not be generalizable to all Pakistani healthcare organizations.

Sixth, the study focuses primarily on organizational perceptions rather than directly benchmarking individual deep-learning architectures on medical-image datasets.

Recommendations

Based on the literature and illustrative organizational findings, the following recommendations are proposed:

1. Develop Pakistani medical-imaging datasets representing diverse hospitals, regions, demographics, and imaging equipment.
2. Conduct multicenter validation before implementing AI systems in routine clinical practice.
3. Maintain human oversight for clinically consequential diagnostic decisions.
4. Develop AI literacy programs for radiologists, physicians, technologists, administrators, and IT personnel.
5. Strengthen data governance to protect patient privacy and confidentiality.
6. Evaluate models using multiple performance measures, rather than accuracy alone.
7. Monitor models after deployment for performance degradation and dataset shift.
8. Require explainability and documentation appropriate to the clinical application.
9. Integrate AI into existing workflows rather than treating it as an isolated technological product.
10. Develop institutional AI governance committees involving clinicians, IT experts, administrators, legal professionals, and data-governance specialists.
11. Use standardized reporting frameworks, including CLAIM 2024 and TRIPOD+AI where appropriate.
12. Conduct prospective clinical evaluation before large-scale deployment, particularly because evidence of clinical testing remains limited for many AI-enabled radiology devices.

Conclusion

The ways that deep learning shapes computer vision technologies are some of the most exciting developments in medical imaging. This technology can learn to detect and classify diseases and perform diagnosis and has the option to be used for screening, prioritization, and clinical workflow support. As demonstrated by the Lahore workforce survey, health practitioners think deep learning technologies and medical image analysis have the potential to improve their work by speeding up and accurately

classifying diagnoses and reducing the overall burden of their work. Besides, the survey provides evidence that the cost of technology, data quality, data privacy, infrastructure, explainability, and the current workforce will have a considerable effect on technology adoption. When it comes to using medical deep learning technologies, the lack of accuracy in an algorithm shouldn't be considered a failure. In order for it to be useful in the clinical context, it should be reliable for a given population, easily integrated in the clinic workflow, inform clinicians with analysis that is relevant, protect confidential data, and remain secure.

For Lahore, it is unlikely that a choice will need to be made between using AI and using no AI at all. It will more than likely be using AI and Clinical services alongside each other. AI will perform the deep analysis of medical images, while Clinical services will use their expertise to interpret the images in the context of the case. For healthcare organizations in Lahore, it will be beneficial to proceed past the exploratory phase to more validated technologies that integrate AI in a local and ethical manner.

REFERENCES

- Bouderhem, R. (2024). Shaping the future of AI in healthcare through ethics and governance. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 11, 416.
- Collins, G. S., Moons, K. G. M., Dhiman, P., Riley, R. D., Beam, A. L., Van Calster, B., Ghassemi, M., Liu, X., Reitsma, J. B., et al. (2024). TRIPOD+AI statement: Updated guidance for reporting clinical prediction models that use regression or machine learning methods. *BMJ*, 385, e078378. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj-2023-078378>
- Mongan, J., Moy, L., & Kahn, C. E., Jr. (2024). Checklist for Artificial Intelligence in Medical Imaging (CLAIM): 2024 update. *Radiology: Artificial Intelligence*.

- Park, S. H., & Suh, C. H. (2024). Reporting guidelines for artificial intelligence studies in healthcare: What's new in 2024. *Korean Journal of Radiology*, 25(8), 687–690.
<https://doi.org/10.3348/kjr.2024.0598>
- Sabri, O., Al-Shargabi, B., & Abuarqoub, A. (2025). The role of artificial intelligence in improving diagnostic accuracy in medical imaging: A review. *Computers, Materials & Continua*, 85(2), 2443–2486.
<https://doi.org/10.32604/cmc.2025.066987>
- Sivakumar, R., Lue, B., & Kundu, S. (2025). FDA approval of artificial intelligence and machine learning devices in radiology: A systematic review. *JAMA Network Open*, 8(11), e2542338.
<https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2025.42338>
- World Health Organization. (2021). *Ethics and governance of artificial intelligence for health: WHO guidance*. World Health Organization.
- World Health Organization. (2024). *Artificial intelligence for health*. World Health Organization.
- World Health Organization. (2024). *Ethics and governance of artificial intelligence for health: Large multi-modal models*. World Health Organization.
- Zhang, et al. (2025). Advances in AI for medical imaging: A review of machine and deep learning in disease detection. *Procedia Computer Science*, 260, 262–273.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2025.03.201>
- Aoyama, R., Teraya, N., Bolatkan, A., Shinkai, N., Machino, H., Kobayashi, K., Asada, K., Sugiyama, M., Kaneko, S., & Hamamoto, R. (2024). Comparison of vision transformers and convolutional neural networks in medical image analysis: A systematic review. *Journal of Medical Systems*.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10916-024-02105-8>
- Bouderhem, R. (2024). Shaping the future of AI in healthcare through ethics and governance. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 11, 416.
<https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-024-02894-w>
- Campanella, G., Hanna, M. G., Geneslaw, L., Miraflor, A., Werneck Krauss Silva, V., Busam, K. J., Brogi, E., Reuter, V. E., Klimstra, D. S., & Fuchs, T. J. (2019). Clinical-grade computational pathology using weakly supervised deep learning on whole slide images. *Nature Medicine*, 25, 1301–1309.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-019-0508-1>
- Chilamkurthy, S., Ghosh, R., Tanamala, S., Biviji, M., Campeau, N. G., Venugopal, V. K., Mahajan, V., Rao, P., Warier, P., & others. (2018). Deep learning algorithms for detection of critical findings in head CT scans: A retrospective study. *The Lancet*, 392(10162), 2388–2396.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(18\)31645-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31645-3)
- Collins, G. S., Moons, K. G. M., Dhiman, P., Riley, R. D., Beam, A. L., Van Calster, B., Ghassemi, M., Liu, X., Reitsma, J. B., & others. (2024). TRIPOD+AI statement: Updated guidance for reporting clinical prediction models that use regression or machine learning methods. *BMJ*, 385, e078378.
<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj-2023-078378>

- Esteva, A., Kuprel, B., Novoa, R. A., Ko, J., Swetter, S. M., Blau, H. M., & Thrun, S. (2017). Dermatologist-level classification of skin cancer with deep neural networks. *Nature*, 542, 115–118. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21056>
- Gulshan, V., Peng, L., Coram, M., Stumpe, M. C., Wu, D., Narayanaswamy, A., Venugopalan, S., Widner, K., Madams, T., Cuadros, J., Kim, R., Raman, R., Nelson, P. C., Mega, J. L., & Webster, D. R. (2016). Development and validation of a deep learning algorithm for detection of diabetic retinopathy in retinal fundus photographs. *JAMA*, 316(22), 2402–2410. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2016.17216>
- He, K., Zhang, X., Ren, S., & Sun, J. (2016). Deep residual learning for image recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition* (pp. 770–778).
- Huang, G., Liu, Z., Van Der Maaten, L., & Weinberger, K. Q. (2017). Densely connected convolutional networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition* (pp. 4700–4708).
- Kelly, C. J., Karthikesalingam, A., Suleyman, M., Corrado, G., & King, D. (2019). Key challenges for delivering clinical impact with artificial intelligence. *BMC Medicine*, 17, 195. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-019-1426-2>
- Kohli, M. D., Prevedello, L. M., Filice, R. W., & Geis, J. R. (2017). Implementing machine learning in radiology practice and research. *AJR. American Journal of Roentgenology*, 208(4), 754–760. <https://doi.org/10.2214/AJR.16.17224>
- Liu, X., Faes, L., Kale, A. U., Wagner, S. K., Fu, D. J., Bruynseels, A., Mahendiran, T., Moraes, G., Shamdas, M., Kern, C., Balaskas, K., Denniston, A. K., Keane, P. A., & De Fauw, J. (2019). A comparison of deep learning performance against health-care professionals in detecting diseases from medical imaging: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Lancet Digital Health*, 1(6), e271–e297. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2589-7500\(19\)30123-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2589-7500(19)30123-2)
- Litjens, G., Kooi, T., Bejnordi, B. E., Setio, A. A., Ciompi, F., Ghafoorian, M., van der Laak, J. A. W. M., van Ginneken, B., & Sánchez, C. I. (2017). A survey on deep learning in medical image analysis. *Medical Image Analysis*, 42, 60–88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.media.2017.07.005>
- Mongan, J., Moy, L., & Kahn, C. E., Jr. (2024). Checklist for Artificial Intelligence in Medical Imaging (CLAIM): 2024 update. *Radiology: Artificial Intelligence*.
- Rajpurkar, P., Irvin, J., Zhu, K., Yang, B., Mehta, H., Duan, T., Ding, D., Bagul, A., Langlotz, C., Shpanskaya, K., Lungren, M. P., & Ng, A. Y. (2017). CheXNet: Radiologist-level pneumonia detection on chest X-rays with deep learning. *arXiv*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1711.05225>
- Sabri, O., Al-Shargabi, B., & Abuarqoub, A. (2025). The role of artificial intelligence in improving diagnostic accuracy in medical imaging: A review. *Computers, Materials & Continua*, 85(2), 2443–2486. <https://doi.org/10.32604/cmc.2025.066987>
- Sivakumar, R., Lue, B., & Kundu, S. (2025). FDA approval of artificial intelligence and machine learning devices in radiology: A systematic review. *JAMA Network Open*, 8(11), e2542338. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2025.42338>

Topol, E. J. (2019). High-performance medicine:

The convergence of human and artificial intelligence. *Nature Medicine*, 25, 44–56.

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-018-0300-7>

Tschandl, P., Rinner, C., Apalla, Z., Argenziano, G., Codella, N., Halpern, A., Janda, M., Lallas, A., Liopyris, K., Longo, C., Malvehy, J., Paoli, J., Puig, S., Rao, B., Rosendahl, C., Soyer, H. P., & Kittler, H. (2020). Human–computer collaboration for skin cancer recognition. *Nature Medicine*, 26, 1229–1234.

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0942-0>

World Health Organization. (2021). *Ethics and governance of artificial intelligence for health: WHO guidance*. World Health Organization.

World Health Organization. (2024). *Artificial intelligence for health: Supporting countries to deploy responsible AI technologies to accelerate equitable health for all*. World Health Organization.